

DIFFERENT WAYS TO LOOK AT TAX CREDITS

House Resources Committee

Larry Persily, Kenai Peninsula Borough – March 9, 2016

Return on state investment

2

- For Cook Inlet, probably not a general fund gain
- No oil production tax, minimal gas production tax
- Payback from royalties, property tax, income tax
- Not enough volume to fully recover tax credit cash
- Not enough future revenue for net gain to treasury
- North Slope investment could be different because of production taxes and higher volumes

Local benefits count

3

- Assessed value of oil and gas property in the Kenai Peninsula Borough totals over \$1.4 billion in 2016, more than double the value of 2006
- Nine of Top 10 property taxpayers oil and gas
- Sales tax revenues are back above FY2009 peak
- Per-capita income and jobs are up, and unemployment rate is down from 2010 to 2014
- New oil and gas spending circulates in the economy

More oil, more gas

4

- Cook Inlet oil production has doubled since 2010
- Avg. 18,000 barrels/day in 2015, best since 2006
- Close to 20,000 barrels/day in April 2015
- Natural gas production ahead of 2013 numbers
- Latest utility gas supply contract runs to 2023
- Potential for even more gas **if there is a market**

It's been more than just credits

5

- Higher oil prices helped a lot – that is, until 2014
- RCA approval of gas contracts reassured producers
- Storage provided year-round market for gas
- Continuation of ConocoPhillips LNG exports
- And, of course, state tax credits a big incentive
- Credits reduced capital risk, provided financing

Why credits worked

6

- High oil & gas prices generated explorer interest in new opportunities; Alaska helped with financing
- Low interest rates created investor demand for new opportunities to earn higher returns
- Financial confidence for investors; no uncertainty of best-interest finding, subjective analysis by state
- The state could afford to help bankroll investment
- New entrants in Alaska helped build public support

Looking ahead

7

- Regardless of credits, producers need sufficient market for gas to recover investment, earn a profit
- Hard to justify exploration and production spending for new gas that isn't needed until the next decade
- Just as Cook Inlet needed large customers in 1960s to develop resources, it needs demand growth in 2020s to justify new large-scale gas production
- And the state cannot afford to write as many checks

What industry/investors need

8

- Certainty of payback; when will the check arrive
- Investor needs to know the 1-year loan will not be stretched into a 10-year payback
- Longer payback could attract different investors
- Phase-in of any changes should reflect lead time for multiyear investment spending before production
- Investors want to see that Alaska is 'tax stable'

The elusive best answer

- Don't damage investments already under way
- And don't discourage future investments too much
- But don't promise more than the state can afford
- Acknowledge that tax credits have a cost and that many of the benefits are in jobs and gas and oil — not necessarily a cash profit to state treasury
- Balance credits against other public needs and the reality that Alaska is oil and gas dependent

10

For more information

Larry Persily

Assistant to Kenai Peninsula Borough Mayor Mike Navarre

lpersily@kpb.us

Cell 907-351-8276